(Revised 06/08)

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title:	Gerald George, et al.		Plantiff(s)	
	VS.			
	Kraft Foods Global, Inc., et al.		Defendant(s)	
Case Number	r: 08 C 3799 Judge: Ruben Castillo			
Ι,	Myron D. Rumeld	hereb	y apply to the Court	
under Local	Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled	d action	on behalf of	
	vhom I h	ave been retained.		
I am a memb	per in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:			
	Title of Court		Date Admitted	
U.S.D.C. Eas	stern District of New York		9-28-84	
U.S.D.C. Sou	uthern District of New York		12-12-85	
2d Circuit Co	ourt of Appeals		5-20-92	
3d Circuit Co	ourt of Appeals		2-15-95	
	atly, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made profollowing actions:	hac vice	applications to this	
Case Nun			Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*	
-	lease explain: tional form if			
	cal Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District		s must designate, at the	

rursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes (No (

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant ever been: censured, suspended, disbarred, or witherwise disciplined by any court?	Yes (No (•
or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?	Yes (No (•
transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of nay court?	Yes (No 🍑
denied admission to the bar of any court?	Yes C	No (•
held in contempt of court?	Yes C	No @

NOTE: If the answer to *any* of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois, effective November 12, 1991 (Local Rules 83.50 through 83.58), and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, effective December 15, 1992, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

August 31, 2008		S/ Myron D. R	Lumeld				
Date		Electror	nic Signature of App	licant	-		
Applicant's Name	Last Name Rumeld		First Name Myron		Middle Name/Initial D.		
Applicant's Law Firm	Proskauer Rose LLP						
Applicant's Address	Street Address 1585 Broadway				Room/Suite Number		
	City New York	State NY	ZIP Code 10036	Work Phone Number 212-969-3021			

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of the Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$100.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.